

AD-A262 649



ENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the collection of information, Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this burden to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Avenue, Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		REPORT DATE JUNE 1987		3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED final	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE A Cultural Resources Survey of the Item No. L-858-R, Lake Co., Ridgely, TN Levee Maintenance Project A Negative Report				5. FUNDING NUMBERS 96X3112	
6. AUTHOR(S) Jimmy D. McNeil				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) in house					
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Dept. of the Army Memphis District Corps of Engineers B-202 Clifford Davis Federal Bldg. Memphis, TN 38103				10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER 94	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Unlimited				12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; text-align: center;">DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A Approved for public release Distribution Unlimited</div>					
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) A literature search and pedestrian survey failed to locate any prehistoric, historic, or architectural resources within the project right-of-way. <div style="text-align: center;">Reproduced From Best Available Copy</div> <div style="text-align: center;">88 4 05 02 5</div> <div style="text-align: right;">410571 93-07042 apg</div>					
14. SUBJECT TERMS				15. NUMBER OF PAGES 4	
				16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT		18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE		19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	
20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT					

20001026235

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING SF 298

The Report Documentation Page (RDP) is used in announcing and cataloging reports. It is important that this information be consistent with the rest of the report, particularly the cover and title page. Instructions for filling in each block of the form follow. It is important to *stay within the lines* to meet optical scanning requirements.

Block 1. Agency Use Only (Leave blank).

Block 2. Report Date. Full publication date including day, month, and year, if available (e.g. 1 Jan 88). Must cite at least the year.

Block 3. Type of Report and Dates Covered. State whether report is interim, final, etc. If applicable, enter inclusive report dates (e.g. 10 Jun 87 - 30 Jun 88).

Block 4. Title and Subtitle. A title is taken from the part of the report that provides the most meaningful and complete information. When a report is prepared in more than one volume, repeat the primary title, add volume number, and include subtitle for the specific volume. On classified documents enter the title classification in parentheses.

Block 5. Funding Numbers. To include contract and grant numbers; may include program element number(s), project number(s), task number(s), and work unit number(s). Use the following labels:

C - Contract	PR - Project
G - Grant	TA - Task
PE - Program Element	WU - Work Unit Accession No.

Block 6. Author(s). Name(s) of person(s) responsible for writing the report, performing the research, or credited with the content of the report. If editor or compiler, this should follow the name(s).

Block 7. Performing Organization Name(s) and Address(es). Self-explanatory.

Block 8. Performing Organization Report Number. Enter the unique alphanumeric report number(s) assigned by the organization performing the report.

Block 9. Sponsoring/Monitoring Agency Name(s) and Address(es). Self-explanatory.

Block 10. Sponsoring/Monitoring Agency Report Number. (If known)

Block 11. Supplementary Notes. Enter information not included elsewhere such as: Prepared in cooperation with...; Trans. of...; To be published in.... When a report is revised, include a statement whether the new report supersedes or supplements the older report.

Block 12a. Distribution/Availability Statement. Denotes public availability or limitations. Cite any availability to the public. Enter additional limitations or special markings in all capitals (e.g. NOFORN, REL, ITAR).

DOD - See DoDD 5230.24, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents."

DOE - See authorities.

NASA - See Handbook NHB 2200.2.

NTIS - Leave blank.

Block 12b. Distribution Code.

DOD - Leave blank.

DOE - Enter DOE distribution categories from the Standard Distribution for Unclassified Scientific and Technical Reports.

NASA - Leave blank.

NTIS - Leave blank.

Block 13. Abstract. Include a brief (Maximum 200 words) factual summary of the most significant information contained in the report.

Block 14. Subject Terms. Keywords or phrases identifying major subjects in the report.

Block 15. Number of Pages. Enter the total number of pages.

Block 16. Price Code. Enter appropriate price code (NTIS only).

Blocks 17. - 19. Security Classifications. Self-explanatory. Enter U.S. Security Classification in accordance with U.S. Security Regulations (i.e., UNCLASSIFIED). If form contains classified information, stamp classification on the top and bottom of the page.

Block 20. Limitation of Abstract. This block must be completed to assign a limitation to the abstract. Enter either UL (unlimited) or SAR (same as report). An entry in this block is necessary if the abstract is to be limited. If blank, the abstract is assumed to be unlimited.

A CULTURAL RESOURCES SURVEY
OF THE ITEM NO. L-858-R,
LAKE COUNTY, RIDGELY, TN. LEVEE
MAINTENANCE PROJECT - A NEGATIVE
FINDING REPORT.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Memphis District

Jimmy D. McNeil
Archeologist

June 1987

ABSTRACT

On 27 May 1987, an intensive Cultural Resources Survey was conducted by the Environmental Analysis Branch of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Memphis District along a portion of the Ridgely Riverside Levee in Lake County, Tennessee. A literature search and a pedestrian survey failed to locate any archeological, historical or architectural sites within the proposed project area. Thus, it is concluded that the proposed work will not have any impact on cultural resources.

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Abstract	i
Table of Contents	ii
List of Maps	ii
Introduction	1
Study Area and Project Description	1
Environmental Setting	1
Results of the Literature Search	3
Survey Methodology and Results	3
Recommendations	3
Bibliography	4
Map 1	Location Map

INTRODUCTION

An intensive cultural resource survey was conducted on 27 May 1987. The length and width of the proposed borrow area was walked over and shovel test units dug where required. The literature search indicated no sites in the proposed area.

STUDY AREA AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Memphis District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, is proposing to undertake Levee Maintenance along the east side of the Levee. Fill materials will be taken from an area near the levee. The project extended from stations 10/40+00 to 11/15+00 (Map 1).

The borrow area investigated extended 30 meters east/west and 150 meters north/south and is approximately 210 meters east of the levee. The surveyed area contains 1.15 acres. The remainder of the project area is all fill material and does not require a survey.

ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

The project area is located in the eastern lower Mississippi alluvial valley (Fisk 1944). The eastern lowland is the active flood plain of the Mississippi River and is characterized by alternating ridges and depressions of little relief. The ridges are the natural levees and the lowlands are abandoned channels formed by the continuous meandering river and its tributaries.

The general area is under cultivation. However, approximately 30% of the proposed project area is wooded. The treed area is a rather dry, fairly mature bottomland hardwoods community. Dominant canopy species are green ash (Fraxinus pennsylvanica var. subintegerrima), red maple (Acer rubrum), and American elm (Ulmus americana). Common associates are sugarberry (Celtis laevitata), sweet gum (Liquidambar styraciflua), shell bark hickory (Carya laciniosa), and swamp chestnut oak (Quercus michauxii).

The understory is open with widely scattered blue beech (Carpinus caroliniana), deciduous holly (Ilex decidua), and common privet (Ligustrum amurense), among others.

The forest floor was heavily covered with a few taxa. The most common are rue anemone (Anemonella thalictroides), and Japanese honeysuckle (Lonicera japonica), with lesser amounts of panic grass (Panicum sp.) and aster (Aster sp.).

Various species of fauna were observed. Avifauna observed in the impact area included Carolina chickadee (Parus carolinensis), American crow (Corvus brachyrhynchos), common flicker (Colaptes auratus), downy woodpecker (Picoides pubescens), American robin (Turdus migratorius), blue jay (Cyanocitta cristata), northern junco (Junco lyemalis), and horned lark (Eremophila alpestris).

Mammal signs observed in the area included raccoon (Procyon lotor), white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus), eastern cottontail (Sylvilagus florida), fox squirrel (Sciurus niger), gray squirrel (Sciurus carolinensis), and Virginia opossum (Didelphis virginiana).

RESULTS OF THE RECORDS SEARCH

Tennessee State Site Records and the National Register of Historic Places were consulted. No prehistoric or historic cultural remains were recorded within the proposed project area.

SURVEY METHODOLOGY AND RESULTS

The proposed borrow project area is approximately 1.15 acres in size. Approximately 90% of the area was in trees; 50-70% of the ground surface was visible. Seven shovel cuts, 30 meters apart, were placed along the length of the borrow area. Each shovel test was approximately 50 cm deep. The soil profile showed only recently deposited sites. No artifacts or feature indicators were found in the shovel tests.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on an infield cultural resources survey and a background literature search, no evidence of prehistoric, historic, or architectural resources exists within the direct impact zone of the proposed project area. However, on the surface was found recent beer cans, glass drink bottles, and plastic. It is, therefore, concluded that the proposed project will not have any impact on cultural resources.

The survey methodology used does not eliminate the possibility of encountering deeply buried sites. Therefore, it is recommended that any site encountered during construction be protected from further damage until its significance can be determined by the Environmental Analysis Branch, Memphis District, Corps of Engineers in conjunction with the Tennessee Historical Commission.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Fish, Harold N.

1944 Geological Investigations of the Alluvial Valley of the
Lower Mississippi River. War Department, U.S. Army Corps of
Engineers, Mississippi River Commission, Vicksburg,
Mississippi

RIVER CHUTE

36+13

11

45.

10+36+00

10+40+00

50.7

25.44

56.29

Riverside Toe = R/W Limits

56.24

10+52+68 = 1170+00

11

10.

EL. 273.84
Bottom of Cottonwood

ABLE
BROW

60' Haul Road

ITEM NO. 1558